

## 2.5 - 2.7

Andrew Lounsbury

February 16, 2020

### 1 2.5, p.58

2. (E1) and (E3) are valid.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure and  $s$  an assignment function into  $\mathfrak{A}$ . It is obvious that  $\bar{s}(x)$  is the same as  $s(x)$ , so  $\mathfrak{A} \models (x = x)[s]$  for any  $\mathfrak{A}$  with any  $s$ . Hence, (E1) is valid.

For (E3), suppose  $\mathfrak{A} \models [(x_1 = y_1) \wedge (x_2 = y_2) \wedge \cdots \wedge (x_n = y_n)][s]$ . That is,  $s(x_i)$  is  $s(y_i)$  for each  $i$ .

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar{s}(x_1), \dots, \bar{s}(x_n)) &= (s(x_1), \dots, s(x_n)) \in A^n, \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^{\mathfrak{A}} \\ (\bar{s}(y_1), \dots, \bar{s}(y_n)) &= (s(y_1), \dots, s(y_n)) \in A^n. \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in R^{\mathfrak{A}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\mathfrak{A} \models R(x_1, \dots, x_n)[s]$  and  $\mathfrak{A} \models R(y_1, \dots, y_n)[s]$  for any  $\mathfrak{A}$  and any  $s$ . Since  $x_i = y_i$  for each  $i$ , we know that  $\mathfrak{A} \models (R(x_1, \dots, x_n) = R(y_1, \dots, y_n))[s]$  for any  $\mathfrak{A}$  and any  $s$ . ■

4. If  $x$  is not free in  $\psi$ , then  $(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \models [(\exists x\phi) \rightarrow \psi]$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $x$  is not free in  $\psi$  and suppose that  $\mathfrak{A} \models (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$  for some structure  $\mathfrak{A}$ . So, if  $\mathfrak{A} \models \phi[s_1]$  for any  $s_1$ , then  $\mathfrak{A} \models \psi[s_2]$  for any  $s_2$ . Now, suppose  $\mathfrak{A} \models (\exists x\phi)[s]$  so that there is an element  $a$  in  $A$  for which  $\mathfrak{A} \models \phi[s(x|a)]$  for any  $s$ .

We must show  $\mathfrak{A} \models \psi[s]$  for any  $s$ . The antecedent tells us that  $\mathfrak{A} \models$

$\psi[t(x|a)]$  for some element  $a$  in  $A$  and for any  $t$ . Since  $x$  is not free in  $\psi$ , any other variable is substitutable for  $x$  in  $\phi$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{A} \models \psi[t]$  for any assignment function  $t$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{A} \models [(\exists x\phi) \rightarrow \psi]$ , and  $(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \models [(\exists x\phi) \rightarrow \psi]$ . ■

## 2 2.7, p.66

4. Let  $\eta$  be a sentence. Then,  $\Sigma \vdash \eta$  if and only if  $\Sigma \cup (\neg\eta) \vdash [(\forall x)x = x] \wedge \neg[(\forall x)x = x]$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\Sigma \vdash \eta$ . From  $\eta$ , we can deduce

$$[(\forall x)x = x] \vee \neg[(\forall x)x = x] \rightarrow \eta$$

since  $[(\forall x)x = x] \vee \neg[(\forall x)x = x]$  is a tautology. We then deduce the contrapositive  $\neg\eta \rightarrow [(\forall x)x = x] \wedge \neg[(\forall x)x = x]$ . So,

$$\Sigma \vdash (\neg\eta \rightarrow [(\forall x)x = x] \wedge \neg[(\forall x)x = x]).$$

By the Deduction Theorem,  $\Sigma \cup (\neg\eta) \vdash [(\forall x)x = x] \wedge \neg[(\forall x)x = x]$ . Now, suppose

$$\Sigma \cup (\neg\eta) \vdash [(\forall x)x = x] \wedge \neg[(\forall x)x = x],$$

so that

$$\Sigma \vdash (\neg\eta \rightarrow [(\forall x)x = x] \wedge \neg[(\forall x)x = x])$$

by the Deduction Theorem. The contrapositive of this results in a tautology implying  $\eta$ :

$$\Sigma \vdash ([(\forall x)x = x] \vee \neg[(\forall x)x = x] \rightarrow \eta).$$

Therefore,  $\Sigma \vdash \eta$ . ■

5. Let  $P$  be a unary relation symbol. Then,  $\vdash [(\forall x)P(x)] \rightarrow [(\exists x)P(x)]$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\vdash (\forall x)P(x)$  but  $\neg(\exists x)P(x)$ . So, for every  $x$ ,  $P(x)$  is true, and there is no  $x$  such that  $P(x)$  is true. This is a blatant contradiction. ■