Academic Year: 2017-2018

Department/Unit: Biology
College: Arts and Sciences
Submission Date: July 1, 2018
Contact: Dr. Robert E. Kissell, Jr.

### I. Department Mission:

The primary mission of the Department of Biology at Tennessee Tech is to promote biological education in the region, state, and nation through teaching, research, and public service.

### **II. Program Goals and Student Learning Outcomes**:

**Program Goal 1:** Cooperative programs ("co-ops") or experiential internships will be completed by at least 25% of WFS students during their undergraduate years.

**Program Goal 2:** The Department of Biology will increase the incorporation of active-learning strategies in courses offered.

**Program Goal 3:** The Department of Biology will increase undergraduate student retention.

**Program Goal 4:** The Department of Biology will make significant progress toward increasing diversity.

**Student Learning Outcome 1:** Undergraduate Wildlife and Fisheries Science majors will improve critical thinking skills.

**Student Learning Outcome 2:** Wildlife and Fisheries Science majors will participate in extracurricular activities related to their discipline.

**Student learning Outcome 3:** All students completing a degree in Wildlife and Fisheries Science at Tennessee Technological University will have acquired abilities to use scientific reasoning as codified by the structured process commonly known as the scientific method.

**Student Learning Outcome 4:** Wildlife and Fisheries Science majors will be able to demonstrate a command of general biology and the general principles in the various areas in natural resources management.

#### III. Assessments

- Senior Questionnaire (Appendix 1) (Program Goal 1 and Student Learning Outcome 2; Administered each Fall and Spring semester) Graduating seniors are asked to complete a short questionnaire concerning extracurricular activities, including cooperative programs and internships, at the time they take their major field exam.
- National Survey of Student Engagement (Program Goal 1, and Student Learning Outcomes 1 and 2; Given Spring semesters 2006, 2009, 2011, 2014) The National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) assesses the students' abilities to work as a team, communicate, and critically think. These values are compared to data from the senior

- questionnaire and results from IDEA evaluation reports. Results from 2014 will be incorporated when received.
- Faculty Annual Report (Program Goal 2; Conducted annually in Spring semester) –Each faculty member submits a Faculty Annual Effort report to the chairperson that discusses their efforts for the previous calendar year. The departmental chair tracks the number of faculty participating in active-learning training and mentoring, and the incorporation of active learning/critical thinking strategies by gleaning such information from these reports. Many departmental faculty members teach both Biology and Wildlife and Fisheries Science courses. Therefore, it difficult to determine if provided information relates to Wildlife and Fisheries Science courses.
- **IDEA Evaluation Reports** (Program Goal 2 and Student Learning Outcome 1; Administered in each class during Fall and Spring semesters) All faculty are asked to have IDEA Evaluation Forms completed for their respective classes at the end of each semester. Faculty are encouraged to integrate active learning/critical thinking techniques into course objectives. IDEA reports do not distinguish between Biology and Wildlife and Fisheries Science courses, and many courses are dual-listed.
- California Critical Thinking Test (CCTST) (Program Goal 2 and Student Learning Outcome 1; Administered during Fall and Spring semesters to graduating seniors) The CCTST evaluates students' abilities to critically think based on skills that they have learned in their courses.
- **TECH TRENDS Institutional Research Reports** (Program Goals 3 and 4; Provided each Fall semester) These reports provide institution-wide data concerning enrollment, demographics, and retention. The enrollment component of this goal is assessed by comparing enrollments from year to year. The retention component is assessed by comparing number of freshmen enrolled during fall and the following spring.
- National Association of University Fish and Wildlife Programs Data (Program Goal 4; Compiled every five years) These reports summarize data compiled from 21 member universities that have fish and wildlife academic programs. Enrollment figures by gender and race/ethnicity are included.
- Scientific Method Exams (Appendix 2) (Student Learning Outcome 3; Administered each Fall and Spring semester) Exams are administered to students in selected classes that determine the degree to which students have learned the scientific method and to determine if they agree that our classes are adequately teaching the scientific method.
- ACAT Major Field Examination (Student Learning Outcome 4; Administered each Fall and Spring semester) The ACAT exam breaks subject matter into a number of categories. We can select which categories should be used in evaluating our majors. This option is especially appealing because of the different focus of our program. Selected categories are ecology, vertebrate zoology, vascular botany, and forestry & wildlife. Invertebrate zoology is assessed for fisheries and conservation biology majors only because wildlife majors are not required to take invertebrate zoology.

### IV. Rationale for Outcomes and Assessments (Process of Data Analysis):

- Graduating seniors are asked to complete a short **Senior Questionnaire** (Appendix 1) concerning extracurricular activities at the time they take their major field exam, including an assessment of how valuable they considered the experiences. Our goal is to have at least 25% of all Wildlife and Fisheries Science majors participate in extracurricular activities related to their discipline. One of the questions on the questionnaire is devoted specifically to internships and co-ops. The departmental chair tracks student internship participation rates through time. The goal is assessed by determining if 25% of Wildlife and Fisheries Science students complete cooperative programs ("co-ops") or experiential internships during their undergraduate years. The departmental Planning Committee will continually revise the senior questionnaire to provide more detailed information about activities that are most valuable to undergraduate students. Results from the Senior Questionnaire are compared with data from the **NSSE** for students within the Biological Sciences.
- The department chair discusses each individual faculty member's progress as summarized in **Faculty Annual Reports**. Active-learning is assessed by determining the number of Department of Biology faculty that enhance their knowledge of active-learning teaching approaches by participating in on- or off-campus training and development workshops devoted to such approaches. All departmental faculty members are expected to receive such pedagogical training during their first 3 years of employment. In addition, 100% of new Department of Biology faculty are paired with a faculty mentor who has experience with active-learning techniques in the classroom during their first year of employment. On-going progress on active learning/critical thinking implementation is summarized and included in the Departmental Annual Report submitted by the chair to the Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences.
- evaluate if they have achieved specific objectives in their respective courses. When completing IDEA Evaluation Forms, departmental faculty are encouraged to increase their selection of critical thinking and active learning objectives. We would like at least 75% of Department of Biology faculty to incorporate active-learning/critical-thinking strategies into their individual courses to improve the reasoning ability of our students. Specifically, our goal is for departmental faculty to select as important or essential teamwork 25%, communication 50%, and critical thinking 50% of the time. The departmental chair and Planning Committee track these percentages from IDEA reports and provide feedback to the entire department at the start of each Fall Semester. In addition, the departmental chair and Planning Committee track percentages of students who responded with a "4" or "5" for items selected by faculty as important or essential in the "Progress Towards Goals" categories for teamwork, communication, and critical thinking. Results will be compared with data from the NSSE and the CCTST. These results are also discussed at the Fall Semester faculty meeting.
- **TECH TRENDS Institutional Research Reports** are reviewed by the chair to acquire information on institution-wide enrollment, demographics, and retention. Enrollments are compared from year to year. Retention is assessed by comparing number of freshmen enrolled during fall and the following spring. Departmental retention is compared to the

university-wide average. To assess progress toward increasing diversity, the departmental chair uses demographic information to compare minority and women enrollments from year to year. These data are summarized in the Departmental Annual Report submitted to the Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences. These data are compared with those summarized by the National Association of University Fish and Wildlife Programs.

- Biology majors enrolled in two courses (a freshman course and an upper-division course) are required to complete a **Scientific Method Exam** (Appendix 2) at the end of the semester during which they take the courses. Results are evaluated by the departmental chair and the course instructors to determine the degree to which students have learned the scientific method and to determine if they agree that our classes are adequately teaching the scientific method. Comparisons are made for scores achieved by students in the freshman course and those achieved in the upper-division course.
  - All graduating senior Wildlife and Fisheries Science majors are asked to take the **ACAT Major Field Examination** during the semester in which they intend to graduate. Scores are compared to the national mid-point range for the areas of ecology, vertebrate zoology, vascular botany, and forestry & wildlife. Invertebrate zoology is assessed for fisheries and conservation biology majors only because wildlife majors are not required to take invertebrate zoology. The departmental chair tabulates scores and reports the results to the departmental Planning Committee at the start of each Fall semester.

#### V. Results

All program goals and student learning outcomes are assessed and results are evaluated on an ongoing and systematic annual basis.

Senior Questionnaire (Appendix 1) (Program Goal 1 and Student Learning Outcome 2) Internships and cooperative programs remain popular among Wildlife and Fisheries Science majors (Program Goal 1). Many students consider internships important to their academic development. Until recently, the internship program in the Department of Biology has been directed towards field programs, and we have been surprised at the low percentage of WFS students that have taken advantage of this opportunity. During the 2017-2018 academic year, 8.8% of WFS students reported participating in internships or cooperative programs. We have been short of our goal of 25% (Table 1) each year. We will continue to encourage students to pursue internships.

During the past 5 years, an average of 96.0% of graduating WFS majors indicated that they **participated in extracurricular activities** while at TTU (Student Learning Outcome 2), and 85% of them indicated that these experiences contributed positively to their education (Table 2). Among students who indicated the special activities did not contribute to their education, inappropriate seminar topics and club activities were the most common complaints. We provided additional seminars that were more pertinent to their interests last year and there was an increase in participation.

Table 1. Percent of Wildlife and Fisheries Science graduates completing internship (WFS 4900) or co-op assignment (n = number of students surveyed).

Academic Year	Sample Size (n)	Percent (%)	
 2013-2014	35	5.7	
2014-2015	10	0.0	
2015-2016	19	0.0	
2016-2017	49	14.3	
2017-2018	34	8.8	

Table 2. Percent of graduating WFS majors participating in extracurricular activities related to their discipline by academic year.

	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018
	(N=35)	(N=10)	(N=19)	(N=49)	(N = 34)
Ext-Cur. Activities	97.1%	100.0%	94.7%	93.9%	94.1%
Clubs	74.3%	60.0%	89.5%	69.4%	67.6%
Internships	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	8.8%
Sp. Topics	31.4%	30.0%	36.8%	51.0%	50.0%
Sci. Mtg.	37.1%	40.0%	42.1%	22.4%	38.2%
Seminars	94.3%	80.0%	89.5%	87.8%	67.6%
Other	68.6%	20.0%	63.2%	40.8%	35.3%
Positive Contribution	88.6%	90.0%	94.7%	89.8%	85.3%

<u>National Survey of Student Engagement</u> (Program Goals 1 and 4, and Student Learning Outcomes 1 and 2) The NSSE report changed how data are categorized from 2014 to 2017. As a result, the results provided for 2017 combines Biology in with Biochemistry or biophysics, Biomedical science, Botany, Cell and molecular biology, Chemistry; Earth science (including geology), Marine science, Mathematics, Microbiology or bacteriology, Natural science, Other biological sciences, Physical sciences (general), Physics, and Zoology. Therefore, the comparisons are not necessarily representative of Biology alone.

When comparing participation by Biology majors in **internship programs** (Program Goal 1) with students University-wide, NSSE results from 2017 indicated 39.3% of Biology seniors indicated either they had or planned to participate in an internship, while 56.3% of Tennessee Tech seniors, regardless of major, indicated they either they had or planned to participate in an

internship.

NSSE data from 2014 and 2017 indicated that **minority students** represented 2% to 11% of first year Biology students (Program Goal 4), and 5% to 10% of Biology seniors, while **female students** represented 60% to 73% of first year Biology students, and 52% to 62% of Biology seniors. Our data are similar to the NSSE results; however, variation is due to the low sample size measured using the NSSE survey.

NSSE 2017 data indicate that for **communication and critical thinking** (Student Learning Outcome 1), our majors improved from their freshman year through their senior year (Mean values for written communication improved from 2.56 ( $\pm$  0.2) to 2.89 ( $\pm$  0.15). Mean values for oral communication improved from 2.69 ( $\pm$  0.2) to 2.93 ( $\pm$  0.13). Mean values for critical thinking improved from 3.13 ( $\pm$  0.22) to 3.26 ( $\pm$  0.13)). NSSE 2017 data for teamwork indicated slightly more autonomy between freshman and senior years for Biology majors: 2.44 ( $\pm$  0.26) to 2.85 ( $\pm$  0.15). While the means for each of the aforementioned metrics are typically increase in value from the freshman year to the senior year, there is no measureable difference given the effect size and sample size. The faculty observes distinct growth in students over their academic career in the department. This observation relative to the metrics used in the NSSE report raises questions about the use of these statistics given their low sample size and the effect size required to observe a difference.

NSSE data for 2017 seniors indicated that seniors in the Biological Sciences participated in **extracurricular activities** for an average of 6.06 hours each week (Student Learning Outcome 2). Our data indicate a high participation rate (i.e., 94.1%; (Table 2).

Faculty Annual Report (Program Goal 2) During 2006, the Department of Biology determined through discussions at faculty meetings that it was essential that faculty develop and adopt active learning techniques into their courses (Program Goal 2). Three faculty members participated in workshops during 2012-2013 and 2013-2014. During 2014-2015, one faculty member attended "mEngage Leadership Academy" to mobilize emerging technology into the classroom. His experience promoted other faculty members to investigate how they might accomplish the same in their courses. During 2015-2016, faculty members participated in workshops such as a McGraw-Hill Higher Education Summit, AIMT Training for the Top 30 Classes, and the Flipped Classroom. During 2016-2017 five faculty members participated in workshops through the Center for Teaching and Learning. During 2017-2018 faculty members participated in several programs provided by the CITL (formerly the CTLE) and the Academic Learning Community program.

Table 3. Number of tenured or tenure-track faculty in the Department of Biology that reported that they had participated in active-learning workshops during the last five years.

	<u> </u>	J
Academic Year	Sample Size (n)	Participants
2013-2014	16	3
2014-2015	17	1
2015-2016	21	3
2016-2017	17	5
2017-2018	17	5

Since 2013, at least 75% of departmental faculty incorporated active-learning/critical-thinking strategies into their individual courses [Program Goal 2 (Table 4)]. The most commonly listed approaches were analysis and interpretation of independently gathered data in lab exercises and reviews of peer-reviewed articles. Several courses required students to work in teams to gather data that could not be collected as individuals, and they were required to provide a team report at the end of these exercises. Many lab exercises attempted to simulate real-world problems, and students were required to develop solutions to these problems. Many upper division labs are designed to be "on-going", and each week's exercise builds on techniques or information learned during the previous week. All of our majors must complete an independent research project as part of the BIOL 3920 course and present their findings and interpretations in a written and oral format. Thus, we feel that we are doing an admirable job of incorporating critical thinking and active learning in our courses, but we will continue to develop additional approaches in these areas.

Table 4. Percent of Department of Biology faculty incorporating active-learning/critical-thinking strategies in their courses during the last five years.

Academic Year	Sample Size (n)	Percent (%)
2013-2014	16	79
2014-2015	18	83
2015-2016	21*	95
2016-2017	21*	95
2017-2018	20	95

<sup>\*</sup>A total of 21 faculty members (tenure-track, tenured, and non-tenure-track) belong to the Department of Biology, but one did not receive IDEA evaluations during at least one semester during this academic year.

<u>IDEA Evaluation Reports</u> (Program Goal 2 and Student Learning Outcome 1) Departmental faculty members are incorporating active-learning/critical thinking strategies in their courses; however, **objectives incorporating teamwork, communication, and critical thinking** are incorporated at varying levels (Program Goal 2). We have not met our goal (25%) for teamwork in the last five years (Table 5). This was the first year we met our goal (25%) for communication in the last four years. In the last five years, our critical-thinking goal (50%) was met only in 2016-2017. The five year averages for Biology in these categories were 15.0% for teamwork, 22.3% for communication, and 43.1% for critical thinking. Consistency among years indicates that our departmental goals for critical thinking and teamwork are realistic and consistent with what faculty believe are important in their courses.

IDEA Reports now provide the percentages of students who respond with a "4" or "5" for items selected by faculty as important or essential. This allows a means of evaluating if students are learning the **goals of teamwork, communication, or critical thinking** in classes in which faculty consider these learning outcomes important by ranking the class as a "4" or "5" (Student Learning Outcome 1). To provide a more meaningful understanding of how students perceive if the goals are being met, the number of courses that students rated at least 50% of the time with a "4" or "5" was calculated. Based on these results (Table 6) it appears that during this last academic year, the percent of sections that were rated by students where substantial or

exceptional progress was made declined across all three categories. This was the first academic year in which all evaluations were conducted on-line. Metric reporting was much improved. In a few instances, faculty listed all 12 items as important; student assessment of these courses tended to be lower than average. Similarly, some faculty listed an item as being important while the instructor of a different section of the same class listed it as being of minor or no importance; such classes also generally received poor marks from students. Consequently, faculty should be more selective of these three items and only select them if they will be emphasized in the course. In reviewing results, it was clear that faculty and students sometimes differ in opinion as to the importance of teamwork, communication, and critical thinking in specific classes.

Table 5. Percent of sections from IDEA evaluation forms where Department of Biology faculty selected teamwork, communication, and critical thinking objectives as essential or important during the last five years.

0.0111119 0110 1000	· 11 · · · J · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
YEAR	TEAMWORK	COMMUNICATION	CRITICAL-THINKING
2013-2014	11.5%	29.5%	44.3%
2014-2015	10.7%	16.7%	32.1%
2015-2016	16.7%	21.4%	40.5%
2016-2017	22.2%	18.9%	66.7%
2017-2018	14.0%	25.0%	32.0%

California Critical Thinking Test (CCTST) (Program Goal 2 and Student Learning Outcome 1) CCTST results for Tennessee Tech WFS majors averaged 15.5 for 2016-2017. The Tennessee Tech average for this timeframe was 16.2 and the national average was 16.2. Based on these results, our students are learning critical thinking techniques almost as well as other students at Tennessee Tech and those at other universities administering the CCTST.

Table 6. Percent of Unit courses that undergraduate Department of Biology students rate more than 50% of the time with a "4" or "5" in the "Progress Towards Goals" categories for teamwork, communication, and critical-thinking over the last five years.

YEAR	TEAMWORK	COMMUNICATION	CRITICAL-THINKING
2013-2014	59.5%	57.7%	67.8%
2014-2015	100%	82.4%	96.6%
2015-2016	100%	66.7%	88.2%
2016-2017	75.0%	82.4%	95.0%
2017-2018	48.0%	40.2%	63.1%

**TECH TRENDS Institutional Research Reports** (Program Goals 3 and 4). The Department of Biology has monitored **enrollment trends** for several years and used these trends to develop

strategies to meet this goal [Program Goal 3 (Table 7)]. Although enrollment was not viewed as a concern by the department in 2017, in order to maintain a perspective on retention, enrollment data are included. In Fall 2017, enrollment was 172 WFS students. Wildlife is still the most popular concentration in the department, representing approximately 64% of all WFS majors. Fisheries and Conservation Biology are equally sought after concentrations with 16% of WFS students in each concentration, respectively.

**Retention efforts** were intensified during the past eight academic years when the BIOL 1000 freshmen and transfer student orientation class was modified (Program Goal 3). During the past five years, this course was taught in small sections of less than 25 students, and it included exercises designed to improve retention. Departmental retention (mean = 86.5%) from fall to spring has been slightly below the university average (mean = 91.3%; Table 7) and annually slightly below during all but one of the last five years; we will continue to monitor the trend.

Table 7. Number of students enrolled as Wildlife and Fisheries Science majors and freshman fall-to-spring retention rates (percent) for undergraduates within the Department of Biology and Tennessee Tech University.

Year	Enrollment – WFS	Retention – Biology	Retention – TTU
		Department	
2013	205	91.8	91.5
2014	185	87.8	90.6
2015	179	82.1	91.9
2016	185	86.3	92.4
2017	172	84.7	90.3

Despite **efforts to increase diversity** (e.g., recruiting trips) to attract minority students, results have not been satisfactory [Program Goal 4 (Table 8)], though the percentage of minorities in the program in the 2017-2018 academic year was the highest in the last five years. Only 5 minority students were enrolled in the B.S. WFS program in the 2017-2018 academic year, and there were 52 females enrolled in the program. Discussions with potential minority students have indicated that applied field biology is not an attractive field for most minority students.

Table 8. Percent of Wildlife and Fisheries Science majors as minorities and females.

Year	Minorities (%)	Females (%)
2013	3.0	18.3
2014	3.4	18.5
2015	4.9	23.2
2016	2.8	25.7
2017	5.2	30.2

**National Association of University Fish and Wildlife Programs Data** (Program Goal 4) Data from the National Association of University Fish and Wildlife Programs for 2010-2011 indicate the same trend as noted in TECH TRENDS, with minorities representing only 8.5% of

undergraduate majors. Over the last 5 years, over 50% of all undergraduate Biology majors have been females. In contrast, the percentage females in the WFS B.S. program averaged less than 25% during that same period. In 2017, only 52 of 172 WFS students were female, and currently 179 of 285 Biology majors are female. We consider the average female:male ratio satisfactory in the WFS field, which is traditionally male-dominated; we suspect that the annual variation may be a non-significant artifact associated with differences in gender ratios in graduating classes. National Association of University Fish and Wildlife Programs data for 2010-2011 reflect a higher percentage, with female undergraduate majors comprising 36.5% of the undergraduate population. Attractiveness of certain programs to females (e.g., health-related biology and microbiology), as compared to others (e.g., applied field biology), probably provides the best explanation for this difference in gender balance among programs.

Scientific Method Exams (Appendix 2) (Student Learning Outcome 3) Student understanding of the scientific method, as assessed using the Department of Biology Scientific Method Exam (Appendix 2), was evident [Student Learning Outcome 3 (Table 9)]. Results are consistent with long-term trends in the BIOL 1000 class that indicate that most of our freshmen students recognize the components of the scientific method and understand how to apply it. In general, upper division students in BIOL 3920 score higher than first-semester students. In the past, we concluded that reinforcement does occur throughout the program and that most senior students have retained some level of understanding of the process.

Table 9. Student performance (percent) on the scientific method exam administered to students

in BIOL 1000 (freshman course) and BIOL 3920 (upper division).

Average Score (%)			100% Correct (%)		> 90% Correct (%)		< 70% Correct (%)	
Year	1000	3920	1000	3920	1000	3920	1000	3920
2013-2014	81.3	86.5	13.3	28.4	33.3	42.9	26.7	13.2
2014-2015*	74.9	71.5	13.8	0.0	18.4	0.0	33.8	44.4
2015-2016	74.4	90.0	10.5	52.3	16.3	65.9	37.2	13.6
2016-2017	74.1	89.2	14.1	52.3	18.8	63.6	43.8	13.6
2017-2018	78.2	86.7	17.1	36.8	23.2	52.9	26.8	16.2

<sup>\*</sup>Data from Spring 2014 only.

**ACAT Major Field Examination** (Student Learning Outcome 4) Senior WFS majors' scores (Table 10) on the ACAT subject exams were generally above national averages for general biology and general principles in the various areas in natural resources management (Learning Outcome 4). Scores on the forestry and wildlife subject area have varied from the 46th to 66th percentile over the last five years, and we consider this one of the most important areas of the exam. We created a summer field course – WFS 4790 in 2005 to address topics that we felt were not receiving adequate treatment, and we consider the high performance of WFS majors on this section a reflection of the programmatic change. Yearly variation partially reflects low sample sizes for some cohorts and also illustrates variability among individual students' knowledge base. For example, most WFS students do not take a course in invertebrate zoology, and their performance generally reflects curricular requirements of their chosen concentration.

Table 10. Results of the ACAT Wildlife and Fisheries Science Exam.

Year &	Eco	ology	Invertebrate Zoology		Vascular Botany		Vertebrate Zoology		Forestry & Wildlife	
Sample Size	Score	%tile	Score	%tile	Score	%tile	Score	%tile	Score	%tile
2013-2014  (n = 40)	466	37	461	35	545	67	497	49	541	66
2014-2015  (n = 31)	494	48	450	31	518	57	500	50	491	46
2015-2016  (n = 38)	499	49	438	27	533	63	525	60	506	52
2016-2017  (n = 49)	505	52	498	49	538	65	518	57	513	55
2017-2018  (n = 35)	516	56	478	41	494	47	470	38	505	52
AVG (n = 183)	496	48.4	465	36.6	525.6	59.8	502	50.8	511.2	54.2

## VI. Modifications and Continuing Improvement: Program Changes due to Assessments

#### For Program Goal 1

Departmental faculty continue to build relationships with local and regional natural resource agencies, non-profit organizations, and biology-related businesses to create opportunities for student internships and co-ops. Opportunities are posted on bulletin boards and announced during classes. Officers of student organizations are informed regularly of internship availability. The department recently incorporated a component in BIOL 1000 (Introduction to Biological Methods) that provides information on internship opportunities, student organizations, and undergraduate research opportunities. We strive to include similar components in upper-division courses.

<u>Link to Assessment Data</u>: The department continues to administer the student questionnaire to graduating Wildlife and Fisheries Science majors to assess Program Goal 1 and Student Learning Outcome 2, and evaluates the percentage data for participation in internship and co-op assignments on an annual basis. In attempting to increase participation by Wildlife and Fisheries Science majors, departmental faculty post opportunities on the internship board, announce opportunities in classes, and forward e-mail announcements pertaining to internships and co-ops to students. The departmental Planning Committee will continue to discuss and develop other potential strategies for increasing participation.

### For Program Goal 2

The departmental chair periodically notifies faculty of off-campus opportunities for on- or off-campus training and development workshops devoted to active-learning teaching approaches, and funds are available to offset costs associated with such faculty development. The Department of Biology could join forces with other departments by holding joint workshops in the future. Alternatively, the department could design and offer its own active-learning workshop.

Mentors are identified and paired with new hires during the first year of employment. New faculty members and mentors are encouraged to interact often on active-learning and other academic issues. Initially, some experienced mentors may be members of other departments, but it is expected that mentors will primarily be members of the Department of Biology once active learning becomes more common in the department.

Faculty can participate in a developmental workshop and/or a mentor program to learn how to incorporate active-learning approaches into their courses. Newly hired faculty are required to work with faculty mentors during their first year of employment. We will continue to monitor the percent of faculty that incorporate active-learning strategies into their courses.

It is expected that tenure-track faculty might be more conservative in their selection and ranking of IDEA objectives, because liberal selection can result in poor IDEA evaluations. Thus, tenured faculty have been encouraged to begin selecting teamwork, communication, and critical thinking objectives more frequently when they fill out IDEA forms. It is also expected that faculty will be modifying their courses to include more teamwork, communication, and critical thinking aspects.

Link to Assessment Data: Although the department has not conducted active-learning workshops, we are interested in this approach. Our data indicate that other than during 2006-2007 when this goal was added and we had 12 of 14 faculty members participating, we have maintained a relatively steady number of faculty members participating in active-learning workshops. All newly hired faculty members have been paired with mentors and have participated in active-learning workshops. More than 75% of faculty members incorporated active-learning strategies into their courses. This indicated that we have achieved our goal during all but one year that this goal has been monitored. These data will continue to be included in departmental annual reports that are submitted to the Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences. We will continue to monitor this goal using IDEA evaluations, and the departmental Planning Committee will conduct additional faculty discussions to determine what courses should emphasize these approaches and whether we wish to modify the target percentages to more adequately reflect faculty opinion.

#### For Program Goal 3

We need to examine retention trends in specific concentrations and options to better understand changes in enrollment and retention levels, and we need to compare these trends to those at other universities. We plan to continue monitoring retention closely in the future and attempt to determine reasons for low retention of our majors if a declining pattern develops.

<u>Link to Assessment Data</u>: Enrollment in the WFS program has fluctuated around 190 students each year over the last five years. However, the enrollment declined to its lowest level in the last five years. There is an expectation that the profession will be expanding in the coming 10 years and, given this expectation, a continued decline in enrollment will be a concern. We will continue to monitor enrollment.

### For Program Goal 4

The Department continually seeks out minority and women students and actively recruits these students into our programs. However, we are questioning the effectiveness of our current efforts in recruiting minority students for the B.S. Wildlife and Fisheries Science degree, and we are considering focusing on recruiting minority students for the graduate programs.

<u>Link to Assessment Data</u>: Although approximately 30% of our undergraduate Wildlife and Fisheries Science majors are female, we consider the average female:male ratio satisfactory in this field, which is traditionally male-dominated. However, the percentage of minority students has remained low. We need to consider revising this goal to focus on minority representation.

#### For Student Outcome 1

Continued monitoring is needed to identify trends and to determine why and what corrective measures are needed to make consistent progress in this area. Overall, results from 2017 indicate that we are meeting our current goals, but this has not been consistently achieved each year.

<u>Link to Assessment Data</u>: When compared with data from the National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) 2017 results, our students were found to be no different compared to the national average in critical thinking. We will continue to monitor these results and perhaps revise our goal for teamwork and communication. This learning outcome is closely tied to Program Goal 2.

#### For Student Outcome 2

In general, we are exceeding our goals in this area, but we continue to monitor extracurricular activities to ensure that student participation continues. We continue to provide a wide diversity of extracurricular opportunities to all students, and we will increase our level of encouragement to participate. E-mail messages are used, in addition to traditional postings, when advertising extracurricular activities. Some additional activities (e.g., extended field trips) are also being developed.

Senior questionnaires and discussions with individual students have indicated that professional extracurricular activities are considered an integral part of their educational experience. Consequently, we have intensified efforts to provide extracurricular opportunities. We are inviting outside seminar speakers more regularly, and departmental faculty members are presenting special seminars more often. We strongly encourage participation at professional meetings, and we often provide funds to help defray student travel expenses. We have incorporated a component in BIOL 1000 (Introduction to Biological Methods) that provides

information on internship opportunities, student organizations, and undergraduate research opportunities. These efforts seem to be successful because over 90% of undergraduate majors indicate that they participate in one or more activity, with most participating in several.

<u>Link to Assessment Data</u>: We will continue to use this learning outcome goal, but we will raise the desired participation rate to be consistent with current patterns of student participation. Since the level of participation varies among various activities (e.g., seminars vs. internships), we may develop target participation rates for various activities. Results from our survey indicate that a higher percent of our Wildlife and Fisheries Science graduates participated in extracurricular activities than data posted in the 2017 NSSE survey. We are pleased with our results and we would like to increase participation in internships and coop assignments as indicated in Program Goal 1.

#### For Student Outcome 3

Although most students respond satisfactorily on the scientific method exam, we are somewhat disappointed in the results. We have recently revised a number of our lower division laboratory courses to better teach the scientific method (i.e., an experimental component has been added). In addition, instructors are collaborating to ensure that the scientific method is being taught in appropriate courses and with a consistent focus. Students involved in research projects are required to conceptualize their projects in the framework of the scientific method. We continue to study these results to determine what additional tools can be used to ensure that all students retain an understanding of the scientific method.

<u>Link to Assessment Data</u>: The chair of the department ensures that exams are administered. We will continue to study these results to determine what additional tools can be used to ensure that all students retain an understanding of the scientific method. Recommendations for new survey tools or modifications to provide more meaningful results are discussed at departmental faculty meetings and voted upon before implemented. This learning outcome is closely tied to Program Goal 2.

#### For Student Outcome 4

Overall, we are pleased with results from the major field exam, but we continue to monitor performance in specific areas to determine if changes are needed in course topics. We evaluate area weaknesses, and improve our students' skills in these areas by devoting more time to these topics in our courses. We also emphasize the importance of these exams because some students perform poorly because they do not take them seriously.

<u>Link to Assessment Data</u>: One of the most often cited suggestions for improvements on senior questionnaires is additional classes with more field experience. After studying this issue and comparing our program to other WFS programs, we have changed the curriculum, effective January 2005, to incorporate a summer field experience, WFS 4790 (Wildlife Techniques – 6 credit hours). Students are required to participate in day-long field exercises for the entire summer session in which it is offered. Wildlife and Fisheries Science-Wildlife majors are required to take this course. It has been a guided elective for Wildlife and Fisheries Science-Conservation and Wildlife and Fisheries Science-Fisheries majors. Subjects covered in the course include topics that are difficult to cover completely in a traditional lab setting because of

time constraints. The department has had such a growing number of students that wanted to take the course, but was not required of their concentration, we had to limit the course to the WFS-Wildlife students. A Conservation Techniques courses has been developed to fill the need of those students wishing to take the Wildlife Techniques course but could not.

# Appendix 1

# GRADUATING SENIOR QUESTIONNAIRE

# **Department of Biology**

during your program at Tennessee Tech, and briefly indicate if you felt that these activities contributed to your academic development.
Beta Beta Beta active member
Chem-Med Club active member
Student Fisheries Association active member
Wildlife Society active member
Internship (BIOL/WFS 4900)
Special topics (BIOL/WFS 4990)
Attended one or more professional meetings
Attended special seminars or talks
Attended departmental sponsored activities not class related
Do you believe that your participation in these activities contributed to your academic development? If so, how? (Please leave this section blank if you did not participate in any of the above activities).

<b>2.</b> Classes - List below required classes that you felt best contributed to your academic development and classes that contributed least to your development. What other classes do think should be required of your major?	you
Most Important Classes:	
Least Important Classes:	
Other Classes that should be required:	
<b>3. Other Suggestions</b> - Please provide any suggestions that you believe would improve to quality of education in your major. (Use the back if necessary)	the
Degree and Concentration:	

# Appendix 2

# Scientific Method Questionnaire

Please	select the resp	onse that best	completes the sente	nce or answers the quest	ion.
			-	ole has been omitted, are erimental data are comp	
A.	Theories	B. Controls	C. Hypotheses	D. Observations	E. Replicates
	_ 2. A an experim		answer to a research	question, which will be	evaluated using
A.	Theory	B. Control	C. Hypothesis	D. Experiment	E. Law
	_ 3 is the use of multiple observations in a study.				
A.	Hypothesis	B. Control	C. Theory	D. Experiment	E. Replication
	4. True (A) or False (B): Science is knowledge obtained by observation.				
	5. True (A) or False (B): A theory is a very tentative idea with little or no se evidence to support it.				
		or False (B): I scientific proce	_	a peer-reviewed journal	is an important
predict those v	t that people w who don't. Yo	yho eat oatmeal ou select 10 peo	15 times a week for ople, 5 of whom you	de to try to answer this q a month will have lower put on this oatmeal dies esterol in all 10 people.	cholesterol than
	_ 7. The state	ment "Oatmeal	reduces bad choles	terol levels" is the	of this research.
	_ 8. Using mo	ore than 1 perso	on in each group illu	strates the concept of	·
	_ 9. Using a g	group of people	who do not eat oat	meal illustrates the conce	ept of
A	. Observation	B. Control	C. Hypothesis	D. Experiment	E. Replication
Please	arrange the fo	ollowing steps of	of the scientific met	hod in the correct order.	
	_ design an ex	xperiment		make observation	S
	publish results			formulate research hypothesis	
	draw conclu	isions		collect data	