

## **2018-2019: Computer Engineering BS**

### **Definition of Unit:**

#### **Providing Department:**

Computer Engineering BS

#### **Department/Unit Contact:**

Allen MacKenzie

### **Mission/Vision Statement:**

Mission Statement: “Provide quality undergraduate and graduate education and perform research in the areas of electrical and computer engineering to enhance the competitiveness of our graduates and contribute to economic, scientific, and social development.”

## **Student Outcomes**

### **Define Goal:**

Within a few years following graduation, our graduates will have:

- progressed in their careers as indicated by promotions, positions of leadership, awards, recognitions, entrepreneurial activities, products or processes developed, patents, and/or publications;
- advanced their knowledge and expertise as indicated by continuing education, advanced degrees, and/or professional registration;
- contributed to the profession and society as indicated by research, national and international collaboration, professional service, community service, and/or public service.

### **Intended Outcomes / Objectives:**

Students will demonstrate:

1. an ability to identify, formulate, and solve complex engineering problems by applying principles of engineering, science, and mathematics
2. an ability to apply engineering design to produce solutions that meet specified needs with consideration of public health, safety, and welfare, as well as global, cultural, social, environmental, and economic factors
3. an ability to communicate effectively with a range of audiences
4. an ability to recognize ethical and professional responsibilities in engineering situations and make informed judgments, which must consider the impact of engineering solutions in global, economic, environmental, and societal contexts
5. an ability to function effectively on a team whose members together provide leadership, create a collaborative and inclusive environment, establish goals, plan tasks, and meet objectives

6. an ability to develop and conduct appropriate experimentation, analyze and interpret data, and use engineering judgment to draw conclusions
7. an ability to acquire and apply new knowledge as needed, using appropriate learning strategies.

Relationship of Student Outcomes to Program Educational Objectives

Student Outcome		Program Educational Objective		
		i	ii	iii
1	an ability to identify, formulate, and solve complex engineering problems by applying principles of engineering, science, and mathematics	X	X	
2	an ability to apply engineering design to produce solutions that meet specified needs with consideration of public health, safety, and welfare, as well as global, cultural, social, environmental, and economic factors	X		X
3	an ability to communicate effectively with a range of audiences	X		X
4	an ability to recognize ethical and professional responsibilities in engineering situations and make informed judgments, which must consider the impact of engineering solutions in global, economic, environmental, and societal contexts	X		X
5	an ability to function effectively on a team whose members together provide leadership, create a collaborative and inclusive environment, establish goals, plan tasks, and meet objectives	X		X
6	an ability to develop and conduct appropriate experimentation, analyze and interpret data, and use engineering judgment to draw conclusions	X	X	
7	an ability to acquire and apply new knowledge as needed, using appropriate learning strategies	X	X	

DRILL DOWN-----  
 RELATED ITEM LEVEL 1

## Assessment Tools

### Frequency of Assessment:

All assessments are completed on a semester or annual basis, unless otherwise noted in the description of a tool. The assessment schedule is attached.

### Rationale:

Our assessment process relies on the following assessments.

**The Capstone Assessment.** Written and oral final presentations of each senior capstone project are evaluated every semester. This evaluation focuses primarily on a final oral presentation presented to the ECE Advisory Board. The advisory board is given a survey in which they were asked to rate several items, many of which related directly to student outcomes SO1-SO5 and to provide commentary to each team.

**The Final Exam Assessment (FEA).** Specific exam questions for specific ECE courses are used to directly assess Student Outcome 1 each semester. The FEA is conducted in ECE 3020: Discrete-Time Signal and Systems, ECE 3130: Microcomputer Systems, ECE 3300: Electronics I, and ECE 3510: Electromagnetic Fields. (ECE 3510 is not required of BSCmpE students; the other three courses are.) This assessment is performed by the faculty member who administered the exam plus an expert in the field. Data is disaggregated for BSCmpE students. The final exam assessment, which has typically been collected directly from relevant faculty by the assessment chair, was not adequately conducted during the 2018-2019 academic year, after the assessment chair (who was also the interim department head at the time) left the department suddenly in the Fall.

**The Senior Exit Survey.** Each semester, both a written survey and a group oral interview of graduating seniors are performed. Students are asked a variety of questions about their experiences in the program, including being asked to rate their attainment of each student outcome; this data is disaggregated for BSCmpE students. In addition to numerical feedback, comments are obtained regarding the overall ECE program experience, specific courses, and specific faculty and staff.

**The Faculty Course Assessment (FCA).** For each course each semester, the instructor provides an assessment of the achievement of the course instructional outcomes. The results of this assessment are used to ensure that the course instructional outcomes listed on the course syllabus are accurate and that they are actually achieved. All course outcomes which are rated at levels 2 (poor) or 1 (unable to perform / not covered) are flagged for investigation to determine the cause and what action needs to be taken to remedy the problem. While this assessment of the course instructional outcomes is used primarily for the purpose of maintaining individual course quality, for certain courses, the course instructional outcomes are related to certain student outcomes. In these cases, the results of this assessment are also used to assess student outcomes.

**The Student Course Assessment (SCA).** For each course each semester, students are asked to self-assess their attainment of the course instructional outcomes. The results of this assessment are used to ensure that the course instructional outcomes listed on the course syllabus are

accurate and that they are actually achieved. Course outcomes which receive low ratings are flagged for investigation to determine the cause and what action is needed to remedy the problem.

### **Attainment of Student Outcomes**

The raw data from most tools is obtained on a 1-5 scale with 5 being the best score. For the final exam assessment, scores on selected exam questions are reported out of 100%. For comparability, we translate this into a 5 point scale with the formula  $X/20$ , this translates into an average grade of 60 on the selected exam problems receiving a 3.0, the threshold for acceptability on our 5 point scale.

Our target for each student outcome and each assessment tool is to achieve greater than 3.5 out of 5. We categorize the attainment of each outcome using each assessment tool as:

- Highly Satisfactory (HS) if the rating is 3.75 or
- Satisfactory (S) if the rating is 3.0 or
- Unsatisfactory (U) if the rating is less than 0.

## **Results: SO 1**

### **Results:**

### **Student Outcome 1: Identify, Formulate, and Solve Complex Engineering Problems**

Student outcome 1 is “an ability to identify, formulate, and solve complex engineering problems by applying principles of engineering, science, and mathematics.”

#### Historical Attainment of Student Outcome 1

	14-15	15-16	16-17	17-18	18-19
Capstone Assessment	4.62	4.62	4.60	4.38	4.34
Senior Exit Survey	4.23	4.52	4.07	4.17	4.06
Faculty Course Assessment	4.31	4.36	4.25	4.17	4.29
Student Course Assessment	3.85	4.04	4.08	4.12	4.14

As the table shows, attainment of this student outcome is highly satisfactory with all outcomes exceeding the (HS >3.75) attainment level both historically and for 2018-2019. All indicators suggest strong attainment of this student outcome.

### **Attachments:**

RELATED ITEM LEVEL 2

## Results: SO 2

### Results:

### Student Outcome 2: Apply Engineering Design

Student outcome 2 is “an ability to apply engineering design to produce solutions that meet specified needs with consideration of public health, safety, and welfare, as well as global, cultural, social, environmental, and economic factors.”

### Historical Attainment of Student Outcome 2

	14-15	15-16	16-17	17-18	18-19
Capstone Assessment	3.33	3.05	3.62	4.23	4.28
Senior Exit Survey	3.80	4.22	4.06	4.04	3.78
Faculty Course Assessment	4.56	4.45	4.30	3.89	4.21
Student Course Assessment	3.84	4.06	4.01	4.09	4.07

Attainment of this student outcome is highly satisfactory with all metrics for 2018-2019 above the attainment threshold (HS, >3.75). The Capstone Assessment tool continues to exceed the highly satisfactory attainment threshold (HS, >3.75) after previous years of only satisfactory attainment.

### Attachments:

RELATED ITEM LEVEL 2

## **Results: SO 3**

### **Results:**

### **Student Outcome 3: Communicate Effectively**

Student outcome 3 is “an ability to communicate effectively with a range of audiences.”

### **Historical Attainment of Student Outcome 3**

	14-15	15-16	16-17	17-18	18-19
Capstone Assessment	4.58	4.54	4.56	4.49	4.37
Senior Exit Survey	4.29	4.22	4.03	4.31	3.94
Faculty Course Assessment	4.67	4.40	4.27	4.04	4.26
Student Course Assessment	3.98	4.20	4.28	4.22	4.05

Attainment of this student outcome is highly satisfactory meeting the attainment threshold (HS, >3.75) for every reported year including 2018-2019 and for every assessment tool.

### **Attachments:**

## Results: SO 4

### Results:

### Student Outcome 4: Recognize Ethical and Professional Responsibilities and Make Informed Judgments

Student outcome 4 is “an ability to recognize ethical and professional responsibilities in engineering situations and make informed judgments, which must consider the impact of engineering solutions in global, economic, environmental, and societal contexts.”

### Historical Attainment of Student Outcome 4

	14-15	15-16	16-17	17-18	18-19
Capstone Assessment	3.58	2.55	3.20	4.26	4.31
Senior Exit Survey	4.14	4.17	4.17	3.84	3.83
Faculty Course Assessment	4.90	4.86	4.38	4.58	4.75
Student Course Assessment	4.24	4.44	4.50	4.42	4.43

Attainment of this student outcome met the highly satisfactory level of attainment (HS, >3.75) for 2018-2019. The Capstone Assessment tool continues to trend toward a highly satisfactory level of attainment after unsatisfactory ratings in 2015-2016 and satisfactory ratings in 2016-2017.

### Attachments:



## **Results: SO 5**

### **Results:**

### **Student Outcome 5: Function Effectively on a Team**

Student outcome 5 is “an ability to function effectively on a team whose members together provide leadership, create a collaborative and inclusive environment, establish goals, plan tasks, and meet objectives.”

### **Historical Attainment of Student Outcome 5**

	14-15	15-16	16-17	17-18	18-19
Capstone Assessment	4.65	4.68	4.57	4.55	4.32
Senior Exit Survey	3.86	4.39	4.28	4.19	3.89
Faculty Course Assessment	4.54	4.29	4.06	4.18	4.38
Student Course Assessment	4.31	4.28	4.36	4.20	4.22

Attainment of this student outcome has met the highly satisfactory level of attainment (HS, >3.75) for all years, as well as, 2018-2019 for every assessment tool.

### **Attachments:**

## **Results: SO 6**

### **Results:**

### **Student Outcome 6: Develop and Conduct Appropriate Experimentation, Analyze and Interpret Data, and Use Engineering Judgment**

Student outcome 6 is “an ability to develop and conduct appropriate experimentation, analyze and interpret data, and use engineering judgment to draw conclusions.”

### **Historical Attainment of Student Outcome 6**

	14-15	15-16	16-17	17-18	18-19
Senior Exit Survey	4.14	4.61	4.33	4.19	4.11
Faculty Course Assessment	4.57	4.50	4.31	3.92	4.10
Student Course Assessment	3.86	4.14	4.14	3.96	4.20

Attainment of this student outcome met the highly satisfactory level of attainment (HS, >3.75) for 2018-2019 and for all other years.

### **Attachments:**

## **Results: SO7**

### **Results:**

### **Student Outcome 7: Acquire and Apply New Knowledge**

Student outcome 7 is “an ability to acquire and apply new knowledge as needed, using appropriate learning strategies.”

### **Historical Attainment of Student Outcome 7**

	14-15	15-16	16-17	17-18	18-19
Senior Exit Survey	4.43	4.72	3.83	4.31	4.33
Faculty Course Assessment	4.81	3.71	4.11	4.49	3.70
Student Course Assessment	4.05	4.33	4.47	4.25	3.99

Attainment of this student outcome met the highly satisfactory level of attainment (HS, >3.75) for two of the metrics. The Faculty Course Assessment met the satisfactory level of attainment (S, >3.0) but met the highly satisfactory level of attainment for the two previous years. The satisfactory level of attainment does not warrant actions currently, so we will continue to monitor progress.

### **Attachments:**