

# **Environmental and Sustainability Studies B.S.: 2019-2020**

## **Definition of Unit**

### **Providing Department:**

Environmental and Sustainability Studies BS

### **Department/Unit Contact:**

Dr. Hayden Mattingly

### **Mission/Vision Statement:**

### **Department Mission:**

The School of Environmental Studies will foster in students the desire to lead purposeful professional lives through the application of scientific principles to environmental issues within the social, political, and economic framework of our society.

**Concentrations and Options:** The B.S. degree program in Environmental and Sustainability Studies (ESS) has three concentrations. Two of the three concentrations have additional curricular options nested within them as summarized below:

#### Concentration 1. Environmental Science

Option 1.1. Biology

Option 1.2. Chemistry

Option 1.3. Natural Resources

#### Concentration 2. Society, Culture and Communication

Option 2.1. Communication and Media

Option 2.2. Social Science and Policy

Option 2.3. Leadership and Environmental Management

#### Concentration 3. Environmental Technology

## **Program Goals and Learning Outcomes**

### **Define Goal:**

**Program Goal 1:** Graduates will be able to analyze and propose sustainable solutions for complex, real-world environmental problems.

**Program Goal 2:** Graduates should understand and integrate ideas from the ecological, social, and physical sciences with technological solutions.

### **Intended Outcomes / Objectives:**

#### **Student Learning Outcomes:**

**Learning Outcome 1:** Students will communicate scientific information effectively in writing, orally, and visually.

**Learning Outcome 2:** Students will demonstrate the ability to work collaboratively on interdisciplinary teams.

**Learning Outcome 3:** Students will demonstrate the ability to integrate social, economic, biological, chemical, and physical science knowledge to identify, formulate, and solve environmental problems.

DRILL DOWN-----

RELATED ITEM LEVEL 1

### **Assessment Tools: LOs 1-3**

#### **Frequency of Assessment:**

Annual

#### **Rationale:**

**Rubric for senior capstone course** (direct measure).

Each senior capstone proposal and final project will be assessed by faculty using rubrics that evaluate the proposal or final presentation based on criteria such as the quality of the research question, introduction, literature review, documentation, methodology, proposal structure, and budget (Appendices 1-2). (Outcomes 1, 2, 3).

The rubric shown in Appendix 1 generates a score that can be converted to an index ranging from 0 to 100 that can be tracked from year-to-year to provide a quantitative assessment of program quality as reflected by the quality of student team proposals and projects. The rubric scores will be monitored by the director and discussed with program faculty and the dean each

year during the Fall Semester associate faculty meeting. Another rubric (Appendix 2) was developed last year to evaluate the capstone presentation that is given in the second semester (Spring Semester) of the two-semester capstone sequence.

**Senior exit survey** (indirect measure).

Each graduating senior will complete a departmental exit survey on or near the time of the exit interview with the program director. The survey has 31 questions to rate the quality of program components from the student's perspective on a scale from 1 (poor) to 4 (excellent). (Outcomes 1, 2, 3).

The written survey provides the opportunity for quantitative feedback from students about specific aspects of the degree program, including the curriculum, advising, facilities and related student experiences while at TTU. In addition, a number of survey questions are directly related to specific learning outcomes. The results are summarized by the director and discussed with program faculty and the dean during the Fall Semester meeting each year.

**IDEA student evaluation results** (indirect measure).

IDEA evaluations are administered for each course in the curriculum. Students can rate their learning progress in key areas such as interdisciplinary teamwork, oral and written communication, and critical thinking skills. (Outcomes 1, 2, 3)

The director of the school will monitor the percent of instructors identifying interdisciplinary training/teamwork, oral communication, written communication and critical thinking as a key course objective, and the percent of students who report citing progress in these related skills to their course. The results will be summarized by the director and discussed with the associate faculty committee and dean during the Fall Semester meeting each year.

RELATED ITEM LEVEL 2

**Results: LOs 1-3**

**Results:**

**Rubric for senior capstone course.** (Outcomes 1, 2, 3). In the capstone sequence, the first course (ESS 4001) entails development of a proposal to conduct a specific project while the second course (ESS 4002) involves actually carrying out the project. During Fall 2019, the capstone teams designed a project focused on cleaning up trash in the Collins River in collaboration with McMinnville Rotary group. The average student team score in Fall 2019 on the proposal phase of the project was 92 out of 100 (92%), representing a slight improvement compared to scores in recent years of 91% in 2018, 88% in 2017, 80% in 2016, 86% in 2015, 93% in 2014, and 70% in 2013. The capstone instructors developed a new rubric for evaluation of the final presentation in ESS 4002 (Appendix 2) that was first implemented in the 2018-2019

academic year. The students in Spring 2020 scored 96 out of 100 (96%) on their capstone presentation, again representing a slight improvement compared to 93% in 2019.

**Senior exit survey.** (Outcomes 1, 2, 3). Five of the nine graduating seniors completed exit surveys in 2019-2020, with results shown in Table 1. This cohort of students represented the fifth graduating group of seniors in the ESS degree program. Students rated the quality of the ESS program (1 = poor; 2 = fair; 3 = good; 4 = excellent) for questions related to developing their communication skills, interdisciplinary teamwork, and environmental problem solving. The average score on scientific literature was 3.6 this year, showing a slight decline from the previous year’s high mark of 3.9. The average score on communication skills has remained stable at 3.6 or 3.7 for the past four years. Progress on working collaboratively on an interdisciplinary capstone team remained stable this year at 3.8, which was the same score achieved the previous year. The environmental problem-solving average scores also have remained stable at 3.8 or 3.9 for the past four years. Overall, student perceptions of progress in these key areas related to our program goals have remained stable and high over the last several years.

**Table 1.** Average scores from ESS senior exit survey results for four survey questions related to student learning outcomes. Questions about the quality of the ESS program components could be answered on a scale of 1 (poor) to 4 (excellent). The values shown for each year are the mean scores on a scale of 1 to 4 from those students who provided answers to each specific question. Sample sizes ( $n$  = number of students who completed the senior exit survey) are shown for each academic year.

Survey Question	Associated Learning Outcome	Academic Year				
		2015-16 ( $n = 6$ )	2016-17 ( $n = 8$ )	2017-18 ( $n = 14$ )	2018-19 ( $n = 9$ )	2019-20 ( $n = 5$ )
Use of scientific literature	1. Communication skills	3.2	3.7	3.6	3.9	3.6
Communicating scientific information	1. Communication skills	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.6
Collaborative capstone teamwork	2. Interdisciplinary teamwork	--	--	3.5	3.8	3.8
Environmental problem solving	3. Environmental problem solving	3.5	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.8

**IDEA student evaluation results.** (Outcomes 1, 2, 3). IDEA results were analyzed for all undergraduate ESS courses taught during 2019-2020. Results from the previous four academic years are also shown for comparison (Table 2). In 2019-2020, average scores for student progress on teamwork, oral and written communication, and critical thinking were  $\geq 4.4$ , highlighting one of the best scoring years since we began collecting data with this assessment tool. It was encouraging to see program-wide average ratings above 4.0 on a 5-point scale, as observed in previous years. Across all five years, the average teamwork score ranged from 4.3 to 4.5 and the average critical thinking score ranged from 4.1 to 4.6, both showing strong interannual stability. On the other hand, the oral and written communication average score was more variable across years, peaking with a high score of 4.7 in 2016-2017 and dipping to a low score of 3.8 in 2018-2019.

**Table 2.** Student-rated progress on three IDEA Objectives related to student learning outcomes for ESS courses taught during the most recent five academic years. Abbreviations: column headings “16” = academic year 2015-2016, “17” = 2016-2017, and so forth; “no” indicates that a course either was either not offered or not evaluated in that particular year; and “--” indicates that the instructor did not select that particular IDEA objective as important or essential during 2015-2019 academic years (all data were reported for the 2019-2020 academic year, regardless of whether the instructor selected the objective as important or essential).

Course	IDEA Objectives														
	Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team					Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing					Learning to analyze and critically evaluate ideas, arguments, and viewpoints				
	16	17	18	19	20	16	17	18	19	20	16	17	18	19	20
ESS 1020	--	4.6	--	no	5.0	--	4.4	--	no	5.0	--	3.6	--	no	5.0
ESS 1100	--	--	4.7	4.6	4.2	--	--	--	--	3.5	3.8	--	4.6	4.5	4.1
ESS 3000	no	no	3.3	4.2	4.5	no	no	3.6	3.9	4.3	no	no	3.4	3.8	4.8
ESS 3710	--	--	--	--	3.0	--	--	--	--	3.4	--	--	--	--	3.6
ESS 4001	4.1	4.7	4.8	5.0	5.0	3.4	--	4.4	--	5.0	3.9	4.5	--	--	5.0
ESS 4002	4.5	4.9	4.6	4.3	5.0	--	--	4.5	--	4.9	--	--	--	--	4.9
ESS 4093	--	no	4.0	--	4.7	4.7	no	4.5	--	4.7	4.7	no	4.4	4.3	4.9
ESS 4300	no	3.0	--	--	no	no	--	--	3.7	no	no	--	--	--	no
ESS 4900	no	5.0	--	no	no	no	5.0	--	no	no	no	5.0	--	no	no
Average Score	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.7	4.3	3.8	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.6

**Attachments:**

## **Modifications and Continuing Improvement**

### **Program Changes and Actions due to Results:**

The assessment tools provided data confirming that our progress on student learning outcomes was generally stable or increasing over the past year. However, faculty conversations with students, along with qualitative comments provided on senior exit surveys, suggested a need to slightly revise certain elements of the ESS curriculum. Students expressed an interest in more specialized environmental course offerings and the ability to receive credit for internships as required courses in the curriculum. For example, one student wrote,

Because the department is so small, we cannot have more concentrated classes about specific environmental issues, for example a class about climate change. The classes taught within the ESS department are oftentimes broad and the topics you would like to learn more about are covered in a couple of lectures. I do understand why more specialized classes are not able to be taught. I know the department is small and there are a limited amount of professors.

Another student wrote,

I believe that the curriculum for my particular program of study could use a few adjustments. I feel that internships should be an option that students could take if not making it completely mandatory. I also believe that students all throughout the School of Environmental Studies need to take a course involving Geographic Information Systems (GIS).

Therefore, during the past year ESS faculty have worked to develop two new specialized courses, on one sustainability and another on ethics, and three new minors: (1) Sustainability, (2) Natural Resource Management, and (3) Parks and Recreation. Initial student interest and feedback regarding these pending changes have been quite positive. We will be submitting these requested changes to the university curriculum committee during Spring Semester 2021 for review and approval. In summary, the changes entail offering more internship credit opportunities in the curricular tracks, adding new courses on global sustainability and environmental ethics, removing some unnecessary electives, and creating three new minors to allow students to receive additional educational training and credentials that will appear on their transcripts.

The department also realized an opportunity for collecting more detailed information with the capstone rubrics shown in Appendices 1 and 2. In the upcoming academic year, we will be creating a spreadsheet to track how individual student groups

perform in the various categories (column headings in the rubrics) for the fall semester (Appendix 1) and spring semester (Appendix 2) of the capstone sequence. Collecting and tracking these additional data can provide insight into more focused sub-areas that might need future improvement.

**Link to Assessment:**