


## **Institutional Effectiveness**

## Timeline for the IE Process





## Follow-up on IE Plan Review

1. Naming of Items on Campus Labs

PO 1: Course Evaluation Response Rates

IARE

Outcome

Results: PO 1: Course Evaluation Response Rates


IARE

Results/Analysis



## Follow-up on IE Plan Review

### 2. Description and Detail for Assessment Methods





## **Workshop Priorities**

# RESULTS/ANALYSIS TEMPLATE

Results/Analysis

Modifications for Improvement

## Documented in Campus Labs

#### July 28 Deadline

- 3-5 Results/Analysis templates
  - Reported Assessment Results
  - Narrative Addresses each Success Criteria
  - Documented Action Plans (if needed)

### **August 31 Deadline**

- 1 Summative Evaluation template
  - Brief Summary of Action Plans
  - IE Plan changes (if needed)



## Results/Analysis

### Definition

Results - Summary of data collected

### Questions to ask

- O What were the findings?
- O How do the results compare to the last time this outcome was assessed?
- O Were the results what was expected?





### **Use of Results**

#### Definition

 Use of Results – Based on the data collected, what actions will be taken to improve delivery of programs and services; how will the results be used for continuous improvement

### Questions to ask

- Where is the department/program succeeding in accomplishing its goals?
  - Are there some areas where improvements are needed?
- O What steps will be taken to improve outcomes/student learning?
- If changes were made based on prior year's assessment results, what was the impact of those changes?



## Tips for Results/Use of Results

- 1. Display trend/historical results when available
- 2. Provide results for all assessment methods
- 3. Directly address each Criteria for Success in the narrative
- 4. Discuss any Action Plans (current or future) associated with the outcome
- 5. Qualitative information can provide helpful context



#### **EXAMPLE: RESULTS & ANALYSIS**

#### Office of Institutional Assessment, Research, and Effectiveness

	Program Outcome	Assessment Methods			Criteria for Success		
eva	1: The IARE office will improve the course aluation response rates to 50% by providing ditional messaging and support for faculty.	IDEA Course Evaluations are administered through Campus Labs each semester.  • The response rate for course evaluations is calculated for each course evaluation administration in the Fall, Spring, and Summer. Response rate information is shared in a report for Deans and Chairs in the Fall and			IARE has set a threshold of 50% for the 2022-23 academic year. IARE has established a target goal of 70% per IDEA and Campus Labs guidance.		
	Alignment with Strategic Goals				IARE has set a threshold for non- response courses (0% response rate) to		
	'Education for Life'	<ul><li>Spring semesters.</li><li>The non-response rate evaluates the number of cour</li></ul>			be no more than 3%.		
	'Innovation in all We Do'	with no student respon					
ЗА	'Exceptional Stewardship'						
	'Engagement for Impact'						
	Results and Analy	sis	Use of Results to Improve Outcomes				
the	A achieved a 47% response rate in Fall Seme threshold of 50%. A achieved a 4% non-response rate in Fall Se et the threshold of 3%.		IARE will continue to work to imp Academic Affairs to communicate		response rates. We will work with h faculty, chairs, and deans.		

#### **IMPROVED: RESULTS & ANALYSIS**

#### Office of Institutional Assessment, Research, and Effectiveness

	F	Results and A	\nalysis		Use of Results to Improve Outcomes
IDEA Response	Rate – Instituti	ion Level		_	IARE will continue to make faculty aware of course evaluations though
	2020	2021	2022		Academic Affairs messaging and by sharing response rate reports with Deans
Spring	33%	40%	46%		and Chairs. Response rates indicate that messaging and sharing of reports has
Fall	38%	46%	47%	1	significantly improved results since this process began in Fall 2021.
	onse Rate – Ins	=		ement.	to identify and generate awareness of areas with a high degree of non-response courses.
Spring	8%	7%	6%	1	
Fall	6%	4%	4%	1	
with no studer	t responses, IAR	RE has not m eport distrib	et the thresh	percentage of courses mold of 3%. This and chairs with a break	

## Tips for Results/Use of Results

- 1. Display trend/historical results when available
- 2. Provide results for all assessment methods
- 3. Directly address each Criteria for Success in the narrative
- 4. Discuss any Action Plans (current or future) associated with the outcome
- 5. Qualitative information can provide helpful context



#### **IMPROVED: RESULTS & ANALYSIS**

#### **English BA Academic Unit**

		Results and	Analysis		Use of Results to Improve Outcomes					
English 4995 – Ser	•				While Extemporaneous Style did not meet threshold, there was significant					
	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	improvement in student learning. In Fall 2022, the English Department began				
Diction & Grammar	86%	88%	87%	83%	85%	offering a peer review presentation session for interested students. The practice session allowed students to present to fellow students enrolled in Senior				
Extemporaneous Style	70%	58%	55%	56%	75%	Colloquium and to receive feedback from peers prior to capstone presentation.  Students involved in the practice session performed significantly better than				
Speaker Dynamics	94%	96%	89%	92%	95%	students who did not attend.				
Audience Interaction	95%	100%	100%	98%	100%	These peer review sessions will continue to be offered in Fall 2023 and Spring				
Students continue Dynamics, and Aud Diction and Gramm Extemporaneous S making progress.	dience Intera nar as stude	action. The d nt progress h	epartment v novers arour	vill continue nd the thresh	to monitor old level.	2024. Based on these positive results, the department may require student participation in peer review sessions as a component of the capstone experienc				

#### **IMPROVED: RESULTS & ANALYSIS**

#### Office of New Student and Family Programs

	Resu	lts and Analy	sis		Use of Results to Improve Outcomes
Student Evaluations:	Percent Favo	rable			
18-3		19-20	20-21	21-22	All three target areas of the SOAR Student and Parent Evaluation were above
Response Rate	<i>9</i> 3%	<i>95%</i>	<i>95%</i>	94%	threshold for both students and parents for the first time in the last 4 years.
Academic Meetings	91%	89%	91%	90%	Much of this success can be attributed to the implementation of University  Finances 101. Parents have remarked that the new detailed timeline of financial
University Finances	75%	76%	82%	88%	aid deadlines and related support information helps make planning much
Dining Services	92%	94%	91%	93%	easier.
Parent Evaluations: P	ercent Favor	able	10	-	easier.
	18-19	19-20	20-21	21-22	Response rates for parents dropped this year due to a QR code that led to a
Response Rate	75%	73%	74%	55%	broken link. Digital and Print materials have already been updated for 2022-23
Academic Meetings	93%	91%	93%	92%	to ensure that links are working. It is anticipated that response rates will
University Finances	65%	67%	78%	89%	improve to above threshold levels.
Dining Services	90%	86%	89%	91%	
SOAR Orientation hit both students and par improve since the imp Spring 2021 with the p Parent response rates error. Student respon	rents. Evaluat plementation parent evalua dropped bel	tion of Univer of University ation now exc ow threshold	sity Finances Finances 10: eeding the 8 for 2021-22	has continued to I was established in 0% threshold.	

### **Summative Evaluation**

#### Definition

- Summative Evaluation—Brief summary of the actions that are being implemented in the next year to seek change or improvement towards an outcome
- Assessment Plan Changes Any adjustments to the IE plan

### Questions to ask

- 1. What action are being planned or implemented for the next planning cycle?
- 2. What changes (if any) are being made to the assessment plan including a change to assessment methods, criteria for success, etc?

## **Takeaways**

- 1. Results/Analysis addresses attainment of success criteria
- 2. Use of Results outlines current or future actions to seek improvement
- **3. Summative Evaluation** provides a brief summary of actions to take place in the next report cycle



## **Timeline for Reporting**

Documented in Campus Labs

July 28 Deadline

3-5 Results/Analysis templates

Reported Assessment Results

Narrative Addresses each Success Criteria

Documented Action Plans (if needed)

**August 31 Deadline** 

1 Summative Evaluation template

Brief Summary of Action Plans

IE Plan changes (if needed)

Results and Analysis

Use of Results to Improve Outcomes

7/28/23

Summative Evaluation

Assessment Plan Changes (if any)

8/31/23

## **Contact Information**

## Office of Institutional Assessment, Research, and Effectiveness

### **Kevin Harris**

- kharris@tntech.edu
- **372-6144**

### **Marlana Lastres**

- mlastres@tntech.edu
- **•** 372-6844

### **Matt Trengove**

- mtrengove@tntech.edu
- **•** 372-6327

### **Sandy Storie**

- sstorie@tntech.edu
- **372-6593**

